

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

) MDL No. 1917

) Case No. C-07-5944-SC

This Order Relates To:

) ORDER GRANTING THOMSONS'
) ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS FOR
) LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL

Sharp Electronics Corp. v. Hitachi
Ltd., No. C-13-1173-SC;

Electrograph Systems, Inc. v.
Technicolor SA, No. 13-cv-05724;

Siegel v. Technicolor SA, No. 13-
cv-05261;

Best Buy Co., Inc. v. Technicolor
SA, No. 13-cv-05264;

Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA,
No. 13-cv-05686

Interbond Corporation of America
v. Technicolor
SA, No. 13-cv-05727;

Office Depot, Inc. v. Technicolor
SA, No. 13-cv-05726;

Costco Wholesale Corporation v.
Technicolor SA, No. 13-cv-05723;

P.C. Richard & Son Long Island
Corporation v. Technicolor SA, No.
13-cv-05725;

Schultze Agency Services, LLC v.
Technicolor SA, Ltd., No. 13-cv-
05668;

Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart
Corp. v. Technicolor SA, No. 3:13-
cv-05262;

Tech Data Corp., et al. v.
Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-
00157;

Crago, et al. v. Mitsubishi Elec.
Corp., et al., No. 14-cv-02058.

1 Now before the Court are two administrative motions for leave
2 to file under seal submitted by the Thomson Defendants.¹ ECF Nos.
3 2782 ("Deadline Mot."), 2783 ("Depo. Mot."). The motions seek
4 leave to file under seal Exhibit A to the Declaration of Stephen
5 Judge, ECF Nos. 2782-1 ("Judge Deadline Decl.") Ex. A; 2783-1
6 ("Judge Depo. Decl.") Ex. A (collectively, the "Judge
7 Declarations"), as well as portions of two briefs filed by Thomson
8 Defendants in opposition to discovery motions filed by the Direct
9 Action Plaintiffs ("DAPs").

10 As required by Civil Local Rule 79-5, counsel for Thomson
11 Defendants, Stephen Judge, filed declarations in support of both
12 motions discussing the materials to be sealed, referencing prior
13 orders sealing materials referenced in their briefing, and noting
14 that Samsung SDI designated Exhibit A to the Judge Declarations as
15 confidential pursuant to the parties' stipulated protective order,
16 ECF No. 1142. Judge Deadline Decl. ¶¶ 2, 9-11; Judge Depo. Decl.
17 ¶¶ 2, 9-10. Subsequently, as required by Civil Local Rule 79-5(e),
18 counsel for Samsung SDI filed a declaration establishing the
19 sealability of Exhibit A to the Judge Declarations. ECF No. 2813
20 ("Cunningham Decl.").

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27
28 ¹ The Thomson Defendants are Technicolor SA (f/k/a Thomson SA) and
Technicolor USA, Inc. (f/k/a Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc.).

Accordingly, leave to file under seal is GRANTED as to:

<u>Document:</u>	<u>Sealed Portion:</u>
Declaration of Stephen Judge in Support of Thomson Defendants' Administrative Motion for Leave to File Under Seal and Opposition to Direct Action Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend the Discovery Deadline Against the Thomson Defendants. ECF No. 2782-1.	Exhibit A, ECF No. 2782-4.
Declaration of Stephen Judge in Support of Thomson Defendants' Administrative Motion for Leave to File Under Seal and Opposition to Direct Action Plaintiffs' Motion to Issue a Letter of Request For International Judicial Assistance to Take Depositions in France. ECF No. 2783-1.	Exhibit A, ECF No. 2783-4.
Thomson Defendants' Opposition to Direct Action Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend the Discovery Deadline Against the Thomson Defendants.	Pages 3:13-14; 3:21; 4:1-7. ECF No. 2782-2.
Thomson Defendants' Opposition to Direct Action Plaintiffs' Motion to Issue a Letter of Request for International Judicial Assistance to Take Depositions in France.	Pages 3:16-18; 3:24; 4:3-5. ECF No. 2783-2.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

1 Nevertheless, counsel for Thomson Defendants failed to comply
2 with the local rules by not submitting a proposed order with their
3 administrative motions for leave to file under seal. See Civ. L.R.
4 79-5(d)(1)(B). Counsel for all parties are reminded that if they
5 fail to comply with the requirements of Civil Local Rule 79-5, they
6 risk denial of their motions for leave to file under seal.

7
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9
10 Dated: September 11, 2014


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE